(not to be destroyed)

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
Hanjarabal file No. 31 of 30
S&A 178-1980: 24, 13 Nov 1914
& 570-604 102, 3 July 1911
Citaldrug Polliegar file No. 13.
G.O. No. Zatuiby 1678 & 29.10.1887

Later references.
I & A 31-24-1 & 4
S&A 303-29-12
S&A " 113/28-9

File No. 59-19

1920.

(S&A)

Serial Nos. 5 & 8

Preserve
B 20.7.45

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for May 1920

SUBJECT.

Petition from Mr. M. Krishnadeva Naik, Mysore praying for reconsideration & the order declining to recognize the illegitimacy of his case & award the pension drawn by his late father Venkatadri Naik

8 & 8. To Mr. M. Krishnadeva Naik, No. H 82 dt 13.5.20 Informs him that his petition is dismissed.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 · 28-1-19

S 24/10/6/20

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SH)

NOTES.

File No. 59/19

FILE HEADING.

Manjarabad Palleyar Family Pensions

From Mr. M. Krishnadeva Naik, Mysore

No. Memorial Dated 1.3.20 Received 16.3.20

Diary No. 303	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 5

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the order declining to recognize the illegitimacy of his case towards the pension drawn by his late father Venkadri Naik may be reconsidered & he may be given suitable Stipend for the expenditure of his four sons etc.

Decision order. Records. Papers may be perused—

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The G.O. of 1895 declining to recognise the memorialist as legitimate heir to his father's pension is put up.

In a case of Basalur Palleyar Family, Govt have refused to recognize the illegitimate line vide

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SSA File No. 59 of 1919.

Serial No.

vide G.O. No. X 298 / SSA 570-16 dt 24.7.11.

3 The memorialist cites the cases of Dodda Manjoo Naik (an illegitimate member) & also the case of Lingal Naik & Devappa Naik of Chitaldrug Family (G.O. No. R 3649/384 dt 27.6.91) in which illegitimate members were granted pensions.

4 He also quotes certain decided cases in High Court & of Kanpuri Zamindari & Pittapur & Royal Family of the late Raja of Coorg in support of his claim.

5 Govt are disposed to view the case with some generous consideration, a suitable pension can be granted as a special case to the memorialist out of the lapsed pensions in the Manjarabad Family in view of the fact that he has to maintain his four sons who are studying, as was done in the case of Mrs. B. J. Kumaraswami Naik of Bafalur Family (SSA 178-14-11) as some Scholarships out of the Special Palleyar Scholarships administered in the Education Dept.

Submitted for orders.

29/3 6 The Order of which revision is sought was passed on 1895. It is for Conservation of

Endorsements & Papers noted

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3032

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&P File No. 59 of 1919

3

Serial No.

The original notes of Sir K.S. leaving to T.S. G.C. is not readily traceable Wd 7/4 Received 7.4.1920

1/0 question can be reopened at this distance of time.

TSR 31/3

6 The points raised in the petition is the question of will of Venkatadri Naidu which he desired that a portion of his property should be the petitioner and his brother, and of their claims to the vacant pension as illegitimate sons of the deceased Venkatadri Naidu have been dealt with in the order of 1895 no new facts or arguments have been advanced for reopening the case at this distance time.

The precedents quoted by petitioner in support of his claim are not in accordance with his case.

7/ Submitted for order with reference. 7/4/20

8 The chief Secy's opinion 7.4.20 has to be taken

Only 12/4/20

Delivered to Chief Secy 9

8 12/4

This memorial seeks the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

3032

4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S+A File No. 59 of 1919

Serial No.

reversal of an order passed in 1895, nearly 25 years ago. It is, I think, extremely unfair to the other party (who now enjoys the pension) that if there should be no finality in such matters old questions can be reopened after the lapse of such a long period.

2) The details given in the petition of 1895 make it quite clear that the petr is an illegitimate child of his father & that no valid marriage was possible. The so called Sreemukha from the Parakala mutt appears to have been given in July 1895, after the Govt order was passed. I fear much weight cannot be attached to it. Even according to it Venkatadri naik - to whom ci golla & Sudra are ascribed - was not a Sudra & the petrs argument that among Sudras illegitimate children are entitled to inherit is quite inapplicable.

3) In the result the petn deserves to be dismissed.

Dewan's Office. 27/4/20

Yr.

Seen

2.5.20

Some orders accordingly me 4.5.20

154/5

as far as it certifies to the status of Sultan-mani - nothing the petr -

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 28 1920
Reg. No. 7365

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

3032

5

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S SA File No. 5 9 of 1919.

Serial No.	
	14 Subd with Draft Endorsement. BY R 6-5-1920 15 Issued 10/5/20
8	Yr 8279 dated 13-5-1920 to Mr M Krishnadeva Naik, Pollagar of Manjarabad, 102 Dewan's Road. Chamarajpet Post: Mysore.
	Informs him that his petition is dismissed. B 22/5/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Diary No. 3032.
11/9/1920
SECTION SAA
FILE NO. 59-19
SERIAL NO. 5

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Daily Register
Petn. Regn. No 187

T H E

HUMBLE MEMORIAL OF

M. KRISHNADEVA NAIK, SON OF THE LATE

VENKATADRI NAIK OF MANJARABAD

PALLEGAR FAMILY.

Fin. Secty

Papers may be put up.

BO

15/8/20

Secy

15/8/20

8. 3032

SRA 59-19-5

To

Raja Seva Dhureena

Sirdar M. Kantharaj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.,

Dewan of Mysore.

May it please Your Excellency,

The humble Memorial of M. Krishnadeva Naik, son of the late Venkatadri Naik of Manjarabad Pallegar family most respectfully showeth:--

(1). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist's father the late Venkatadri Naik, was as a Pallegar of the well-known and respectable family of Manjarabad, allowed by the Government of Mysore a monthly pension of Rs. 127-1-10 and he was in the enjoyment of the same till his death. The present surviving members of this family are shown in the subjoined table of pedigree.


VENKATADRI NAIK (died).
1st Wife
PUTTAMMANNI (died).
2nd Wife
KRISHNAJAMMANNI (Died).
KRISHNAPPA NAIK.
(Son).
#4.127-1~10
SINGAPPA NAIK.
Son (Died).
KRISHNADEVA NAIK.
Son. (Memorialist)
VASUDEVA NAIK.
(Son).
Wife SUNDARARAJAMMANNI.
(Legitimate descendant of Pallegar Family).
VENKATADRI NAIK.
(Son).
KRISHNASWAMY.
(Son).
NANJUNDARAJU.
(Son).
NARASIMASWAMY.
(Son).
JAYA RAM.
(SOn).

(2). That the said Venkatadri Naik shortly before his death made a will and duly registered it. (Vide Ext: I). The Venkatadri Naik, feeling certain of his death in a short period and desiring that there may be no disputes as to the disposal of his pension after his death between the sons of his rival wives, submitted a petition dated 20th July 1894 (Vide Ext: II) to the Government of Mysore praying that the pension enjoyed by him may be settled upon his four sons in the following manner as was mentioned in his said last will and that the terms of the said will be carried into effect during his life time.

For the 1st son by his 1st wife.	..	Rs. 30- 0- 0.
For the 2nd son do.	..	Rs. 30- 0- 0.
For the 1st son by his 2nd wife.	..	Rs. 35- 0- 0.
For the 2nd son do. ..	..	Rs. 32- 1-10.
Total.	..	Rs. 127- 1-10.

(3). That the 2nd wife Krishnajammanni represented to His Excellency the late Dewan the false and malicious facts under the instigation of evil advisers and actuated by spite and jealousy for the 1st wife Puttammanni and her children, with a view to deprive her (1st wife) and her children of the means of subsistence.

(4). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist and his brother Vasudeva Naik are the two sons of the late Venkatadri Naik by his senior wife, but their claim to the succession to the stipend was passed over by His Excellency the late Dewan on the ground that they belong

9

to the illegitimate line of descendants of the said Venkatadri Naik inspite of their legitimacy being placed beyond question by his holiness Sree Brahama Thantra Parakalaswamyavaru of Mysore who is the highest authority in all spiritual matters, in his Nirup. (Vide Ext: III).

(5). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist begs to quote an existing instance of Pittapore Zamindar, where a bequest was made to a person in these terms "Though it is not specially necessary according to Hindu Law that property should be passed to the Aurasa son by means of a Will."

Held:-- That the false description of the person as his Aurasa son was immaterial and that the bequest to him was valid.

Held:-- The title by Will, will have priarity over title by succession.

(Vide Pittapore Case, Madras Law Journal).

His Excellency the late Dewan has failed to exercise a sound discretion in not recognising the status of your Memorialist in his family and under his father's Will, though His Excellency admits that such a Pallegar pension must always descend in the ordinary course of inheritance.

(6). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist most respectfully ventures to submit that even granted that it was an inferior kind of marriage, is not according to the Hindu Law considered as a ground of exclusion from inheritance as illegitimate children of Sudras are entitled to share with the legitimate offspring of the deceased and this view of the matter was not considered by His Excellency the late Dewan when he made his order.

10

That no code of religion or politics deprive sons of in-ferior marriage of their share in the estates of their father.

(7). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist further respectfully begs to point out that the instances are not wanting where the illegitimate childrenof Pallegars have been drawing stipends from the Mysore Government in succession to their natural parents as Chikka Manju Naik and Dodda Krishnappa Naik both illegitimate sons in the family of said Manjarabad Pallegars have been drawing Rs. 34-0-0 and Rs. 24-0-0 respectively and Devappa Naik and Lingala Naik both illegitimate descendants of Chittaldrug Pallegars have been drawing Rs. 48-0-0 and Rs. 103-0-0 respectively, per month.

(8). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist would direct Your Excellency's attention to a Despatch of the Government of India, No. 43 of 1860 at Simla, as to the continuance of the pensions to the illegitimate sons and dependants in the family of the late Ex-Rajah of Coorg. (Vide Ext: IV).

(9). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist begs to submit an existing instance of Kuppam Pallegar in British Territory, the Government have recognised the status of the illegitimate sons of the said Pallegar and they are in the enjoyment of Pallepat lands. (Vide Proceedings of the Government of Madras). His Excellency the late Dewan has erred in not allowing the claim of your Memorialist, on the face of so many existing proofs and material evidence regarding the point of the status of your Memorialist, though His Excellency admits that it would

be

11

be no more than bare justice to extend to Mysore Pallegar pensions, the same rule of succession as applies to Pallepat lands in British Territory. (Vide Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore (General) dated 29th October 1887 para 8.).

E

(10). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist would crave permission, to refer Your Excellency to a recent decision in the High Court of Judicature at Madras, in a suit for maintenance by the illegitimate sons of one Chidambra Mudli, deceased, against his undivided brothers and their sons.

Held:-- The illegitimate son of a Sudra, though the offspring of an adulterous intercourse, is entitled to maintenance from the undivided brothers of his deceased father who take the father's estate by survivorship. Intercourse with a married woman is not always a crime, and there is no warrant in Hindu Law for refusing maintenance to offsprings by adulterous intercourse on the ground of their criminal origin. (Vide Madras Law Journal Vol. XX April 1910, No. 2 page 350).

That Your humble Memorialist submits that either in Law or in precedent his claim stands on an undeniable footing.

(11). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist submits that he has no means of livelihood, owning neither, moveable nor immoveable property anywhere and that the sole means of livelihood in the case of the family to which he has the honour to belong, has been the Pallegar pension enjoyed by his ancestors.

12

(12). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist begs to submit that his four sons are students, as shown below:--

1. M. Venkatadri Naik	Student in	V Form	Mari Mallappa's High School.
2. M. Krishnaswamy	"	IInd "	do.
3. M. Nanjunda Raju	"	Ist "	do.
4. M. Narasimswamy	"	Ist Class	do.

They are not possessed of means to provide themselves with the bare and commonest necessaries of life and prosecute their studies. They are depending upon Your Memorialist for support. The higher standard of living at the present day and the chain of the untoward events that have occurred, have been reduced Your Memorialist to most painful and most pètiable position.

(13). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist therefore most respectfully begs leave to approach Your Excellency with this humble Memorial feeling sure his pètiable case will meet with consideration and justice at Your Excellency's gracious hands and that Your Excellency will be pleased to direct an equitable allotment of the stipend, drawn by his late father (Venkatadri Naik) during his life time, to Your humble Memorialist and relieve from the painful distress and misery.

(14). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist further most humbly and respectfully solicit thay Your Excellency may be pleased to receive, consider and treat this Memorial as a communication on behalf not only of Your Memorialist but also on behalf of the said sons of Your Memorialist and extend to them that sympathy and assistance which their distress provokes and invokes.

13

(15). That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist would most humbly begs to be excused for intrusion, confidently hoping that Your Excellency will not leave Your Memorialist and his children in a helpless condition and constantly praying for Your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

Your Most loyal and humble
Memorialist,

M. Krishnadeva Naik.

Mysore,

Dated 15th March 1920.

Address:--

M. Krishnadeva Naik,
Pallegar of Manjarabad,
No. 102, Dewan's Road,
Chamarajapet, M y s o r e.

EXHIBIT 1

TRANSLATION OF THE WILL BY THE FATHER OF THE MEMORIALIST.

This will made the 14th day of October 1893, executed by Venkatadri Naik Son of Dodda Kristnappa Naik, pallegar of Manjarbad, residing in Cotton Petta, Bangalore is as hereunder detailed:—

I. As I am very weak by old age, my age having exceeded 50, and as I desire that there may be no disputes in my family as to the succession of my heirs to my property, I hereby voluntarily execute this my last testament.

II. I have two wives, the 1st of whom is Puttammanni, and the 2nd Krishna jammanni.

III. The 1st Puttammanni has two sons by name (1) Krishnadeva Murthi and (2) Vasudeva Murthi. Sundararajammanni, daughter of Kumaraswami Rajah of Tiruvalur Daigal Family has been given in marriage to the said Krishnadeva Murthi. The said 2nd Vasudeva Murthi remains a batchelor and is aged 13.

IV. The 2nd Kristnajammanni has two sons and two daughters. The 1st Nunjammanni has been give in marriage to Kumaraswmi Naik, son of Venkatasawmy Naik, Pallegar of Bagalur. The 2nd Gourammanni is not yet married and is only 8 years old. The 3rd Kristna Murthi is 13 years of age and not married. The 4th Sreenivasa Murthi is only 5 years old and not married.

V. I have no ancertral property except a house which is my self-acquired and which has been sold by me to Polepally Soobba Chetty to discharge my debts.

VI. I Have jewels (gold and silver) to the value of Rs. 2,500 which are also my self-acquisition, and the same is distributed between my 1st and 2nd wives in the proportion of 1,500 and 1,000 and each must enjoy that which has been given to her as said above. The same rule must apply in the case of household utensils also.

VII. I have dedts to the extent of Rs. 5,000 and I will discharge the same myself in my life time. I will also celebrate the marriages of my sons myself.

VIII. If I should die leaving any portion of my debts for my sons, the said 4 sons must jointly discharge the same.

IX. The pension of Rs. 127—1—10 at present enjoyed by me, may be distributed among my four sons in the following manner :—

X.

For 1st son Kristnadeva Murthi, by 1st wife	Rs.	30	0	0
2nd son Vasudeva Murthi, Do		30	0	0
For 1st son Kristna Murthi, by 2nd wife		35	0	0
2nd son Sreenivas Murthi, Do		32	1	10
TOTAL	Rs...	127	1	10

and these above said 4 sons should maintain my two wives without the least cause for complaint and without any failure.

XI My two wives as well as their respective sons should live in joint family in the same way as they do in my life time and should there be misunderstandings or inconvenience, each my live with her sons.

XII. My other cousins have no manner of right whatever either to my property or to my pension, as the Government has clearly decided that my family branch is quite separate from that of my cousins and I have submitted every thing in detail to the Government.

XIII. Fully trusting that the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore my be pleased to favor me and my family with their generous protection and will take it into their kind consideration to carry out my intentions, I have this day in my own writing voluntarily executed this my last testament and hereunto affixed my signature.

(Signed) VENKATADRI NAIK,
Mujrahal Palleyar.

Witnesses.

I. Channa Basavaswami of Sree Suppannaswami Mutt.

II. Kristna Sastry.

Written by the testator Venkatadri Naik.

The same has been duly registered on 12th December 1893 &c.,

(Signed) B. GUNGAIAH
SUB-REGISTRAR,
Bangalore.

EXHIBIT 2

Third petition of Venkatadri Naik, dated 20th July 1894, denying and falsifying all the statements made by his second wife Krishnajammanni, in her counter petition to H. E. the Dewan and praying that his pension may be continued to his four sons.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

The humble petition of VENKATADRI NAIK, Manjarabad Poligar, respectfully states:—

I submitted two petitions to Your Excellency dated 1st July and 17th July 1894, respectively regarding the settlement of my pension viz (Rs. 127—1—10 per month). I hear that my second wife Kristnajammanni became aware of this fact and yielding to the persuasions of evil advisers has put in another petition to your Excellency stating that she and her sons are the only heirs to that pension and that my first wife Puttammanni and her sons are not at all entitled to it.

Consequently I think it necessary that I should clearly state to Your Excellency the following circumstances, a knowledge of which, I think is quite necessary to enable Your Excellency to make an equitable settlement of my case.

Puttammanni, the daughter of Naraswimhala Naik of Madras, who belonged to the same caste as mine, was married to me in 1860. I have two sons by her, Kristnadeva Murthy and Vasudeva Murthy. Sundarajammanni, the daughter of Kumaraswami Raja, of Tiruvalur Daigal Family, a close relative of us has been given in marriage to my eldest son said Kristnadeva Murthy in 1893.

About seven years after the marriage of my first wife (Puttammanni), Kristnajammanni, the daughter of Muddukristnappa Naik of Rayadroog was married to me. I have four children by her, Kristna Murthy, Sreenivasa Murthy, Nanjamanni and Gowrammanni. The Nanjammanni has been given in marriage to Kumaraswamy Naik, Bagalur Poligar and Assistant Commissioner at Tumkur, in 1886.

Till now I have been living with my first and second wifes together namely Puttammanni and Kristnajammanni respectively.

Now that I am suffering from illness, my second wife Kristnajammanni thinking that I shall not recover, has launched a petition to Your Excellency.

Under these circumstances I beg that Your Excellency will be merciful on me and my family and trust Your Excellency will make such a settlement of my pension viz (Rs. 127—1—10 per month) as I have stated in my previous petitions submitted to Your Excellency dated 1st July and 17th July 1894 respectively.

BANGALORE,
DATED 20TH JULY 1894.

(Sd.) VENKATADRI NAIK,
Poligar of Manjarabad.

EXHIBIT 3



No. 5.

SREE MUKKAM (GURU'S CERTIFICATE) GRANTED BY HIS HOLINESS SREE BRAHMA TANTRA SREE PARAKALA SWAMIGALAVARU OF MYSORE, SHOWING THAT,

your Memorialist and his mother belong to Telugu Banajiga Naik cast and that Puttammanni was the 1st wife of Venkatadri Naik, Pallegar of Manjarabad.

ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವೀಡವಮೂರ್ಗ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯೋಭಯ ವೇದಾಂತ ಪ್ರವರ್ತಕರಾದಂಥ
ಶ್ರೀ ಬ್ರಹ್ಮತಂತ್ರ ಪರಕಾಲಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀಕಾರ್ಯ ಕರ್ತರು
ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗಂ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಂಭಟ್ಟಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರು.

This Sreemukhm, (certificate) granted to Krishnadeva Murthi, eldest son of Venkatadri Naik, Pallegar of Munjarabad, who is a chief and devout disciple of this Sree Mutt and who belongs to Kasyapagotra (ಕಾಶ್ಯಪಗೋತ್ರ) Apasthambha Sutra (ಆಪಸ್ತಂಭ ಸೂತ್ರ) is as follows :—

You, (Kristnadeva Murthi) and your remote ancestors *Viz* Bailur Venkatadri Naik, and Krishnappa Naik, your ancestors, Venkatadri Naik, grand son of the said Krishnappa Naik, and Krishnappa Naik, son of the said Venkatadri Naik, have been the chief and devuot disciples of this Mutt, and have shown great zeal and attachment in the service of God.

You, (Krishnadeva Murthi) and Puttammanni, wife of Venkatadri Naik, who belong to the family of the said Pallegar, have been the disciples of this Mutt and belong to Telugu Banajiga Naik cast. There is no objection whatever for you to contract aliences in your Telugu Banjiga Naik caste in accordance with your ancient customs. In accordance with the wishes of the Swamigalavaru of this Mutt, I have informed of this to you, this day of Ashadha Bhahula Dasami, Wednesday of Munmatha year.

(Sd.) RUNGA CHAR,
Sheristadar

17TH JULY 1895.

Addressed to :—

KRISHNADEVA MURTHI NAIK,
Eldest son of VENKATADRI NAIK
the chief disciple of this Sree Mutt
AND PALLEGAR OF MUNJARABAD.



ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
೫

ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವೀದ ಮಾರ್ಗಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯೋಭಯ ವೇದಾಂತ ಪ್ರವರ್ತಕ
ರಾದಂಥ ಶ್ರೀಬ್ರಹ್ಮತಂತ್ರಪರಕಾಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಶ್ರೀಮತದ ಶ್ರೀಕಾರ್ಯ
ಕರ್ತರು ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗಂ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ರಾಘವಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರು

ಶ್ರೀಮತಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಶಿಷ್ಯರಾದ ಕಾಶ್ಯಪ ಗೋತ್ರ ಆಪಸ್ತಂಭ ಸೂತ್ರರಾದ ಮಂಜ
ರಾಬಾದು ಪಾಳ್ಯೆಗಾರ್ರು ವೆಂಕಟಾದ್ರಿನಾಯ್ಕರ ಜೇಷ್ಠಪುತ್ರರಾದ ಕೃಷ್ಣದೇವಮೂರ್ತಿನಾಯ್ಕರಿಗೆ ಬರಸಿ
ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಶ್ರೀಮುಖ ಅದಾಗಿ.

ನೀವುನಿಮ್ಮ ವಂಶಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಈ ಶ್ರೀಮತಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹಿರೇರು ಜೇಲೂರುವೆಂಕಟಾ
ದ್ರಿನಾಯ್ಕರು, ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನಾಯ್ಕರು, ಇವರ ಪುತ್ರರು ವೆಂಕಟಾದ್ರಿನಾಯ್ಕರು, ಇವರ ಪುತ್ರರು ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ
ನಾಯ್ಕರು, ಸಹಾ ಮುಖ್ಯಶಿಷ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಅನೇಕ ದೇವರ ಸೇವಾದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಯಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆವರ ವಂಶ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ನೀವು ವೆಂಕಟಾದ್ರಿ ನಾಯ್ಕರ ಕುಟುಂಬ ಪುಟ್ಟಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಿಯವರು ಸಹಾ ಶ್ರೀಮತಕ್ಕೆ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಾಗಿ
ಯು, ತೆನಗುಬಣಜಿಗನಾಯ್ಕರ ಜಾತಿಯವರಾಗಿಯೂ ಇರುತ್ತೀರಿ.

ನೀವುಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ತೆನಗುಬಣಜಿಗನಾಯ್ಕಜಾತಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ವ ಪದ್ಧತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ
ಬಂಧುತ್ವವಾಡತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವು ಇರುವದಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೆ. ಈ ಮೇರೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ
ಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀಗಳವರು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ ಆಂಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿಯಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಮನ್ಮಥನಾಮ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಆಷಾಢ ಬ ೧೦ ಬುಧವಾರದಲ್ಲಿ

ರಂಗಾಚಾರ್
ಸಿರಸ್ತೆದಾರ್

ಮೇಲ್ವಿಳಾಸ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
೫

ಶ್ರೀಮತಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಶಿಷ್ಯರಾದ ಮಂಜರಾಬಾದ್ ಪಾಳ್ಯೆಗಾರ್ರು ವೆಂಕಟಾದ್ರಿನಾಯ್ಕರ ಜೇಷ್ಠಪುತ್ರ
ರಾದ ಕೃಷ್ಣದೇವಮೂರ್ತಿನಾಯ್ಕರಿಗೆ.

4

THE GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA TO SIRE CHARLES WOOD;

Dated Foreign Department Simla, 30th April (No. 43) 1860.

I have the honour to reply to the several despatches enumerated in the margin, regarding the allowances of the family of the late Ex-Rajah of Coorg.

2. * * *

3. * * *

4. If her Magisty's Government should sanction an allowances of 3,000 Rupees a month for the members of the Coorg family in India, I would recommend that it be distributed amongst them in about the proportion noted in the margin, with the understanding that (except in the case of the concubines, whose stipends will absolutely lapse at their death), on the lapse of each pension by the death of the recipients, the Government of India will exercise its judgment as to the continuance of any portion of the sum to any relation or Dependent of the deceased.

For the Ranee ...	Rs. 600
Six concubines at Rs. 50 each ...	Rs. 300
Five Ditto ...	Rs. 250
The legitimate son ...	Rs. 500
Six illegitimate sons, Rs. 200 each	Rs. 1,200
The Daughter in India ...	Rs. 150
Total	Rs. 3,000

I have, &c

(Signed) CANNING.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue.....13 May 1920

Signed by.....

No X8279

dt 13 May

M. R. Ry

M. Krishna Deva Naik

Pollegar of Manjarabad

102 Dewan's Road

Chamaraja Pete Mysore

Section Stat

File No. S 9-19

Serial No. 8

No. Endorsement

~~To~~ In reply to his memorial dated 15-3-1920 praying that the G.O No 28 P&A dated 22.6.1895 declining to recognize his title towards the pension drawn by his late father Venkatadri Naik may be reconsidered and that he may be given a suitable stipend etc, Mr M. Krishna Deva Naik is informed that ~~Govt~~ do not consider it necessary to reopen the case now & revise the orders passed in the matter 25 years ago.

Memorial dismissed

11/5/1922

P&S.R 6/5/1922

10/5?

For Chief Secty to Govt